### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

## PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44bis 3(c) and 72.2)

To:

YOSHITAKE, Kenji Kyowa Patent & Law Office Room 323, Fuji Bldg. 2-3, Marunouchi 3-chome Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 1000005 JAPON



Date of mailing (day/month/year) 26 October 2006 (26.10.2006)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 153352-325	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No. PCT/JP2005/006088	International filing date (day/month/year) 30 March 2005 (30.03.2005)
Applicant DAI NIF	PPON PRINTING CO., LTD. et al

App	plicant	DAI NIPPON PRINTING CO., LTD. et al
1	Transmi	ttal of the translation to the applicant.
	<b>V</b>	The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).
		The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).
2.	Transmi	ttal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.
		national Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected equiring such translation:
	Non	e
	The follo	owing designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that on from the International Bureau only upon their request:
	EC, LV,	AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW
3.	Remind	er regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).
	The appl must cor	icant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation tain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).
	v	I We to suppose and formula much translation directly to each cleated Office concerned within the

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer  Masashi Honda
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 153352-325	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2005/006088	International filing date (day/month/year) 30 March 2005 (30.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 31 March 2004 (31.03.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form P			
Applicant DAI NIPPON PRINTING CO., LTD.			

1	This international preliminary rel International Searching Authority	port on patentability (Chapter value and value and value 44 bis 1(a).	I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this co	ver sheet.
	In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary re	nce to the written opinion of eport on patentability (Chapte	the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference er I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications i	elating to the following item	3:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	rnational application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant rdate (Rule 44bis .2).	mmunicate this report to des nakes an express request und	ignated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but er Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
			Date of issuance of this report 19 October 2006 (19.10.2006)
	The International Bure		Authorized officer
	34, chemin des Col 1211 Geneva 20, Sv		Masashi Honda

e-mail: pt08@wipo.int

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis 1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 153352-325 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 31.03.2004 30.03.2005 PCT/JP2005/006088 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant DAI NIPPON PRINTING CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220 For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Telephone No. Facsimile No.

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No PCT/JP2005/006088

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1		n regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was a unless otherwise indicated under this item
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed nation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	Ь	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	<b>c</b>	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	itional comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No
PCT/JP2005/006088

Box			tle 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; oporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-10	YES
		Claims		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES
		Claims		NO
2.	Citations and explanations:			

Document 1: JP 3-115371 A (Toyobo Co., Ltd. Co., Ltd.), 16 May 1991, claims, page 8, bottom of upper right column, line 3-lower left column, line 16; page 9, bottom of upper right column, line 3-lower left column, line 5; working examples 1 and 3 (Family: none) Document 2: JP 2002-62405 A (Fuji Photo Film Co., Ltd.), 28 February 2002, claims,

Claims 1-10

paragraphs 42 and 46 (Family: none)

Documents 1 and 2 cited in the ISR neither describe nor suggest a condition that an interface does not exist between each layer of a thin film laminate provided with a light-transmitting base/antistatic layer/ hard coat layer.

Thus, the inventions of claims 1-10 appear to possess novelty and to involve an inventive step.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/006088

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
The following obs	servations on the clarity of the claims. description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by e made;
	is unclear. Claim 6 cites claim 1; however, the order of layer formation described 6 differs from that of claim 1.